

PLURICOMPLEX ENERGY CLASSES ASSOCIATED TO A POSITIVE CLOSED CURRENT

JAWHAR HBIL, MOHAMED ZAWAY, AND NOUREDDINE GHILOUFI

ABSTRACT. The aim of this paper is to extend the domain of definition of $(dd^c \cdot)^q \wedge T$ on some classes of plurisubharmonic (psh) functions, which are not necessary bounded, where T is a positive closed current of bidimension (q, q) on an open set Ω of $\mathbb{C}^n$. We introduce two classes $\mathcal{F}_p^T(\Omega)$ and $\mathcal{E}_p^T(\Omega)$ and we show that they belong to the domain of definition of the operator $(dd^c \cdot)^q \wedge T$. We also prove that all functions belong to these classes are C_T -quasicontinuous and that the comparaison principle is valid in them.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let Ω be a bounded open set of $\mathbb{C}^n$ and denote by $PSH(\Omega)$ the set of psh functions on Ω . The definition of the complex Monge-Ampère operator $(dd^c \cdot)^n$ on the set of psh functions has been studied by Bedford and Taylor in [1], they showed that this operator is well defined on the set of bounded psh functions and they established the comparaison principle to study the Dirichlet problem on $PSH(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$. The problem of extending its domain of definition was treated by many other authors, in particular Cegrell has introduced, between 1998 and 2004 (see [2, 3]), a general class $\mathcal{E}(\Omega)$: the class of psh functions which are locally equal to decreasing limits of bounded psh functions vanishing on $\partial\Omega$ with bounded Monge-Ampère mass on Ω . He showed that the Monge-Ampère operator is well defined on $\mathcal{E}(\Omega)$ and this is the largest domain of definition if the operator is required to be continuous under decreasing sequences. The study of this class leads to many results such that the comparaison principle, the solvability of the Dirichlet problem and the convergence in capacity.

Throughout this paper, T will be a positive closed current of bidimension (q, q) on Ω where $1 \leq q \leq n$. The question is to extend the domain of definition of the operator $(dd^c \cdot)^q \wedge T$. This problem was studied by Dabbek and Elkhadhra [4] in the case of bounded psh functions. We will extend the domain of definition of this operator to some classes of unbounded psh functions.

In this paper we recall the classes $\mathcal{F}^T(\Omega)$ and $\mathcal{E}^T(\Omega)$ introduced in [7] where the Monge-Ampère operator $(dd^c \cdot)^q \wedge T$ is well defined and we introduce two new classes, the first will be $\mathcal{F}_p^T(\Omega)$, $p \geq 1$ a subclass of $\mathcal{F}^T(\Omega)$ and the second will be $\mathcal{E}_p^T(\Omega)$.

In the first part we introduce the class $\mathcal{E}_p^T(\Omega)$ and we show that the Monge-Ampère operator $(dd^c \cdot)^q \wedge T$ is well defined on this class then we give some properties of the classes $\mathcal{E}_p^T(\Omega)$ and $\mathcal{F}^T(\Omega)$.

In the second part we prove that every functions in $\mathcal{E}_p^T(\Omega)$ or in $\mathcal{F}^T(\Omega)$ are C_T -quasicontinuous; it means that they are continuous outside subsets of small C_T -capacity. The main tool of this result will be an estimate of the growth of $C_T(\{u < -s\})$. Indeed we prove that

$$C_T(\{u < -s\}) = O\left(\frac{1}{s^{p+q}}\right) \quad (\text{resp. } C_T(\{u < -s\}) = O\left(\frac{1}{s^q}\right))$$

for every $u \in \mathcal{E}_p^T(\Omega)$ (resp. $u \in \mathcal{F}^T(\Omega)$).

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 32U40; 32U05; 32U20.

Key words and phrases. positive closed current, plurisubharmonic function, capacity, Monge-Ampère Operator.

Using some analogous Xing's inequalities, we prove in the last part the main result of this paper.

Main result (Comparison principle) *Let $u \in \mathcal{F}^T(\Omega)$ and $v \in \mathcal{E}^T(\Omega)$. Then*

$$\int_{\{u < v\}} (dd^c v)^q \wedge T \leq \int_{\{u < v\} \cup \{u=v=-\infty\}} (dd^c u)^q \wedge T.$$

2. THE CLASSES $\mathcal{E}_p^T(\Omega)$ AND $\mathcal{F}_p^T(\Omega)$

2.1. Preliminary results. Let Ω be a hyperconvex domain of $\mathbb{C}^n$, that means it is open, bounded, connected and that there exists $h \in PSH^-(\Omega)$ such that for all $c < 0$, $\{z \in \Omega, h(z) < c\}$ is relatively compact in Ω where $PSH^-(\Omega)$ is the set of negative psh functions. Let us introduce the Cegrell pluricomplex class $\mathcal{E}_0^T(\Omega)$ associated to T , slightly different to a class introduced in [7], as follows:

$$\mathcal{E}_0^T(\Omega) := \left\{ \varphi \in PSH^-(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega); \lim_{z \rightarrow \partial\Omega \cap \text{Supp } T} \varphi(z) = 0, \int_{\Omega} (dd^c \varphi)^q \wedge T < +\infty \right\}.$$

Using the same proof as in [7], we can prove easily that this class is a convex cone and that for all $\psi \in PSH^-(\Omega)$ and $\varphi \in \mathcal{E}_0^T(\Omega)$ one has $\max(\varphi, \psi) \in \mathcal{E}_0^T(\Omega)$.

In this section we introduce new energy classes $\mathcal{E}_p^T(\Omega)$ and $\mathcal{F}_p^T(\Omega)$, similar to Cegrell's ones and we will show that the Monge-Ampère operator is well defined on them.

Definition 1. For every real $p \geq 1$ we define $\mathcal{E}_p^T(\Omega)$ as the set:

$$\mathcal{E}_p^T(\Omega) := \left\{ \varphi \in PSH^-(\Omega); \exists \mathcal{E}_0^T(\Omega) \ni \varphi_j \searrow \varphi, \sup_{j \geq 1} \int_{\Omega} (-\varphi_j)^p (dd^c \varphi_j)^q \wedge T < +\infty \right\}.$$

When the sequence $(\varphi_j)_j$ associated to φ can be chosen such that

$$\sup_{j \geq 1} \int_{\Omega} (dd^c \varphi_j)^q \wedge T < +\infty,$$

we say that $\varphi \in \mathcal{F}_p^T(\Omega)$.

It's Easy to check that $\mathcal{E}_0^T(\Omega) \subset \mathcal{F}_p^T(\Omega) \subset \mathcal{E}_p^T(\Omega)$ and that, using Hölder's Inequality, one has $\mathcal{F}_{p_1}^T(\Omega) \subset \mathcal{F}_{p_2}^T(\Omega)$ for all $p_2 \leq p_1$.

We recall the following result which will be useful to prove some properties of our classes.

Theorem 1. (See [4]) *Suppose that $u, v \in \mathcal{E}_0^T(\Omega)$. If $p \geq 1$ then for every $0 \leq s \leq q$ one has*

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} (-u)^p (dd^c u)^s \wedge (dd^c v)^{q-s} \wedge T \\ & \leq D_{s,p} \left(\int_{\Omega} (-u)^p (dd^c u)^q \wedge T \right)^{\frac{p+s}{p+q}} \left(\int_{\Omega} (-v)^p (dd^c v)^q \wedge T \right)^{\frac{q-s}{p+q}} \end{aligned}$$

where $D_{s,1} = e^{(j+1)(q-j)}$ and $D_{s,p} = p^{\frac{(p+s)(q-s)}{p-1}}$, $p > 1$.

We begin by showing that the two introduced classes inherit some properties of the energy class $\mathcal{E}_0^T(\Omega)$.

Theorem 2. *The classes $\mathcal{E}_p^T(\Omega)$ and $\mathcal{F}_p^T(\Omega)$ are convex cones.*

Proof. It suffices to prove that $u + v \in \mathcal{E}_p^T(\Omega)$ for every $u, v \in \mathcal{E}_p^T(\Omega)$. Let $(u_j)_j$ and $(v_j)_j$ be two sequences that decrease to u and v respectively as in Definition 1. We want to estimate

$$\int_{\Omega} (-u_j - v_j)^p (dd^c(u_j + v_j))^q \wedge T.$$

Thanks to Minkowsky Inequality, it is enough to estimate the following terms:

$$\int_{\Omega} (-u_j)^p (dd^c u_j)^s \wedge (dd^c v_j)^{q-s} \wedge T$$

and

$$\int_{\Omega} (-v_j)^p (dd^c u_j)^s \wedge (dd^c v_j)^{q-s} \wedge T$$

for all $0 < s < q$. Using Theorem 1, we can estimate last terms by

$$\int_{\Omega} (-u_j)^p (dd^c u_j)^q \wedge T \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\Omega} (-v_j)^p (dd^c v_j)^q \wedge T.$$

As these sequences are uniformly bounded by the definition of $\mathcal{E}_p^T(\Omega)$, the result follows. $\square$

Proposition 1. *Let $u \in \mathcal{E}_p^T(\Omega)$ (resp. $\mathcal{F}_p^T(\Omega)$) and $v \in PSH^-(\Omega)$. Then the function $w := \max(u, v)$ is in $\mathcal{E}_p^T(\Omega)$ (resp. in $\mathcal{F}_p^T(\Omega)$).*

Proof. Let $(u_j)_j$ be a sequence that decreases to u as in Definition 1 and take $w_j := \max(u_j, v)$. The sequence (w_j) decreases to w . So it's enough to prove that

$$\sup_j \int_{\Omega} (-w_j)^p (dd^c w_j)^q \wedge T < +\infty.$$

Thanks to Theorem 1, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} (-w_j)^p (dd^c w_j)^q \wedge T &\leq \int_{\Omega} (-u_j)^p (dd^c w_j)^q \wedge T \\ &\leq D_{0,p} \left(\int_{\Omega} (-u_j)^p (dd^c u_j)^q \wedge T \right)^{\frac{p}{p+q}} \left(\int_{\Omega} (-w_j)^p (dd^c w_j)^q \wedge T \right)^{\frac{q}{p+q}}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\int_{\Omega} (-w_j)^p (dd^c w_j)^q \wedge T \leq D_{0,p}^{\frac{p+q}{p}} \int_{\Omega} (-u_j)^p (dd^c u_j)^q \wedge T.$$

The right-hand side is uniformly bounded because $u \in \mathcal{E}_p^T(\Omega)$ and the result follows. $\square$

The most important result of this section is the following theorem which proves that the Monge-Ampère operator $(dd^c \cdot)^q \wedge T$ is well defined on the new classes.

Theorem 3. *Let $u \in \mathcal{E}_p^T(\Omega)$ and $(u_j)_j$ be a sequence of psh functions that decreases to u as in Definition 1. Then $(dd^c u_j)^q \wedge T$ converges weakly to a positive measure μ and this limit is independent of the choice of the sequence $(u_j)_j$. We set $(dd^c u)^q \wedge T := \mu$.*

Proof. Let $0 \leq \chi \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)$, $\delta = \sup\{u_1(z); z \in \text{Supp}\chi\}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. There exists a sequence $(r_j)_j$ such that $0 < r_j < r_{j-1}$ and

$$r_j < \text{dist}(\{u_j < \frac{\delta}{2}\}, \Omega^c).$$

Let

$$u_{r_j}(z) := \int_{\mathbb{B}} u_j(z + r_j \xi) dV(\xi)$$

where dV is the normalized Lebesgue measure on the unit ball $\mathbb{B}$. Then one has

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} \chi (dd^c u_{r_j})^q \wedge T - \chi (dd^c u_j)^q \wedge T \right| < \varepsilon.$$

The function u_{r_j} is continuous, psh on $\{u_j < \frac{\delta}{2}\}$ and $u_j \leq u_{r_j}$ on Ω . Let $\tilde{u}_j = \max(u_{r_j} + \delta, 2u_j)$. Then the sequence $(\tilde{u}_j)_j$ decreases to a psh function $\tilde{u}$ and $\tilde{u}_j \in \mathcal{E}_0^T(\Omega)$ by Proposition 1. Furthermore, using the same technic of the previous proof, we obtain

$$\sup_{j \geq 1} \int_{\Omega} (-\tilde{u}_j)^p (dd^c \tilde{u}_j)^q \wedge T < +\infty.$$

The proof of the theorem will be complete if we show that

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\Omega} \chi(dd^c \tilde{u}_j)^q \wedge T$$

exists.

Let h be an exhaustion function in $\mathcal{E}_0^T(\Omega)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} (-\tilde{u})^p (dd^c h)^q \wedge T &= \lim_{j \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\Omega} (-\tilde{u}_j)^p (dd^c h)^q \wedge T \\ &\leq D_{0,p} \sup_{j \geq 1} \left(\int_{\Omega} (-\tilde{u}_j)^p (dd^c \tilde{u}_j)^q \wedge T \right)^{\frac{p}{p+q}} \left(\int_{\Omega} (-h)^p (dd^c h)^q \wedge T \right)^{\frac{q}{p+q}} < +\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Thanks to Dabbek-Elkhadhra [4], the sequence of measures $(dd^c \max(\tilde{u}_j, -k))^q \wedge T$ converges weakly for every k . So it is enough to control

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} \chi(dd^c u_{r_j})^q \wedge T - \chi(dd^c \max(\tilde{u}_j, -k))^q \wedge T \right|.$$

Since $\tilde{u}_j$ is continuous near $\text{Supp} \chi$ then

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \int_{\Omega} \chi(dd^c u_j)^q \wedge T - \chi(dd^c \max(\tilde{u}_j, -k))^q \wedge T \right| \\ &= \left| \int_{\{\tilde{u} \leq -k\}} \chi(dd^c \tilde{u}_j)^q \wedge T + \int_{\{\tilde{u} > -k\}} \chi(dd^c \tilde{u}_j)^q \wedge T \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \int_{\{\tilde{u} \leq -k\}} \chi(dd^c \max(\tilde{u}_j, -k))^q \wedge T - \int_{\{\tilde{u} > -k\}} \chi(dd^c \max(\tilde{u}_j, -k))^q \wedge T \right| \\ &\leq \int_{\{\tilde{u} \leq -k\}} \chi(dd^c \tilde{u}_j)^q \wedge T + \int_{\{\tilde{u} \leq -k\}} \chi(dd^c \max(\tilde{u}_j, -k))^q \wedge T \\ &\leq \frac{\sup \chi}{k^p} \int_{\{-\tilde{u} \geq k\}} k^p [(dd^c \tilde{u}_j)^q \wedge T + (dd^c \max(\tilde{u}_j, -k))^q \wedge T] \\ &\leq \frac{\sup \chi}{k^p} \int_{\Omega} (-\tilde{u})^p (dd^c \tilde{u}_j)^q \wedge T + (-\max(\tilde{u}_j, -k))^p dd^c \max(\tilde{u}_j, -k)^q \wedge T \\ &\leq C \frac{\sup \chi}{k^p} \sup_{m \geq 1} \int_{\Omega} (-\tilde{u}_m)^p (dd^c \tilde{u}_m)^q \wedge T. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of the theorem. □

Theorem 4. *If $u \in \mathcal{E}_1^T(\Omega)$ then*

$$\int_{\Omega} u(dd^c u)^q \wedge T > -\infty.$$

Moreover, if $v_j \in PSH^-(\Omega)$ such that $(v_j)_j$ decreases to u then

$$\int_{\Omega} v_j(dd^c v_j)^q \wedge T \text{ converges to } \int_{\Omega} u(dd^c u)^q \wedge T.$$

Proof. Since $u \in \mathcal{E}_1^T(\Omega)$ then there exists a sequence $(u_j)_j \subset \mathcal{E}_0^T$ such that

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow +\infty} u_j = u \text{ and } \alpha := \sup_j \int_{\Omega} -u_j(dd^c u_j)^q \wedge T < +\infty.$$

Let us prove that

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\Omega} u_j(dd^c u_j)^q \wedge T = \int_{\Omega} u(dd^c u)^q \wedge T.$$

For every $k \geq j$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, one has

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} -u_j(dd^c u_j)^q \wedge T \\ & \leq \int_{\Omega} -u_j(dd^c u_k)^q \wedge T \\ & = \int_{\{u_j \geq -\varepsilon\}} -u_j(dd^c u_k)^q \wedge T + \int_{\{u_j < -\varepsilon\}} -u_j(dd^c u_k)^q \wedge T \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\{u_j \geq -\varepsilon\}} -u_j(dd^c u_k)^q \wedge T \\ & = \int_{\{u_j \geq -\varepsilon\}} -\max(u_j, -\varepsilon)(dd^c u_k)^q \wedge T \\ & \leq \left(\int_{\Omega} -\max(u_j, -\varepsilon)(dd^c \max(u_j, -\varepsilon))^q \wedge T \right)^{\frac{1}{q+1}} \left(\int_{\Omega} -u_k(dd^c u_k)^q \wedge T \right)^{\frac{q}{q+1}} \\ & \leq \left(\varepsilon \int_{\Omega} (dd^c u_j)^q \wedge T \right)^{\frac{1}{q+1}} \alpha^{\frac{q}{q+1}} \end{aligned}$$

This goes to 0 when $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. By Theorem 3 we obtain

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\{u_j < -\varepsilon\}} -u_j(dd^c u_k)^q \wedge T \leq \int_{\Omega} -u_j(dd^c u)^q \wedge T.$$

Now since $-u_j$ is lower semi-continuous then

$$\liminf_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\Omega} -u_j(dd^c u_k)^q \wedge T \geq \int_{\Omega} -u_j(dd^c u)^q \wedge T.$$

Hence for all j ,

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\Omega} u_j(dd^c u_k)^q \wedge T = \int_{\Omega} u_j(dd^c u)^q \wedge T.$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{j \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\Omega} u_j(dd^c u_j)^q \wedge T \\ & \geq \lim_{j \rightarrow +\infty} \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\Omega} u_j(dd^c u_k)^q \wedge T = \int_{\Omega} u(dd^c u)^q \wedge T \\ & \geq \limsup_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\Omega} u(dd^c u_k)^q \wedge T = \limsup_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \lim_{j \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\Omega} u_j(dd^c u_k)^q \wedge T \\ & \geq \lim_{j \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\Omega} u_j(dd^c u_j)^q \wedge T. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$(2.1) \quad \lim_{j \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\Omega} u_j(dd^c u_j)^q \wedge T = \int_{\Omega} u(dd^c u)^q \wedge T.$$

As $(v_k)_k$ decreases to u then $v_k \in \mathcal{E}_1^T(\Omega)$. It follows that

$$(2.2) \quad \int_{\Omega} \max(u_j, v_k)(dd^c \max(u_j, v_k))^q \wedge T \geq \int_{\Omega} u_j(dd^c u_j)^q \wedge T \geq -\alpha.$$

Moreover, $(\max(u_j, v_k))_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{E}_0^T(\Omega)$ and decreases to v_k so thanks to Equality (2.1),

$$(2.3) \quad \lim_{j \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\Omega} \max(u_j, v_k)(dd^c \max(u_j, v_k))^q \wedge T = \int_{\Omega} v_k(dd^c v_k)^q \wedge T.$$

By tending $j \rightarrow +\infty$, Inequality (2.2), Equalities (2.1) and (2.3) give

$$\int_{\Omega} v_k(dd^c v_k)^q \wedge T \geq \int_{\Omega} u(dd^c u)^q \wedge T.$$

Thus

$$(2.4) \quad \liminf_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\Omega} v_k (dd^c v_k)^q \wedge T \geq \int_{\Omega} u (dd^c u)^q \wedge T.$$

With the same reason, as $(\max(u_j, v_k))_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ decreases to u_j then

$$\int_{\Omega} u_j (dd^c u_j)^q \wedge T \geq \limsup_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\Omega} v_k (dd^c v_k)^q \wedge T.$$

Hence

$$(2.5) \quad \limsup_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\Omega} v_k (dd^c v_k)^q \wedge T \leq \int_{\Omega} u (dd^c u)^q \wedge T.$$

The result follows from Inequalities (2.4) and (2.5). $\square$

Remark 1. Claim that if $u \in \mathcal{E}_1^T(\Omega)$ and $(u_j)_j$ is a decreasing sequence to u as in Definition 1 then

$$\int_{\Omega} u_j (dd^c u_j)^q \wedge T \text{ decreases to } \int_{\Omega} u (dd^c u)^q \wedge T.$$

2.2. Comparaison theorems. We recall two classes $\mathcal{E}^T(\Omega)$ and $\mathcal{F}^T(\Omega)$ introduced in [7] where authors prove that the Monge-Ampère operator $(dd^c \cdot)^q \wedge T$ is well defined on them.

Definition 2. We say that $u \in \mathcal{F}^T(\Omega)$ if there exists a sequence $(u_j)_j \subset \mathcal{E}_0^T(\Omega)$ which decreases to u such that

$$\sup_j \int_{\Omega} (dd^c u_j)^q \wedge T < +\infty.$$

A function u will belong to $\mathcal{E}^T(\Omega)$ if for all $z \in \Omega$ there exist a neighborhood ω of z and a function $v \in \mathcal{F}^T(\Omega)$ such that $u = v$ on ω .

As a consequence, for every $p \geq 1$ one has $\mathcal{F}_p^T(\Omega) \subset \mathcal{F}^T(\Omega) \subset \mathcal{E}^T(\Omega)$ but we don't know any relationship between $\mathcal{E}_p^T(\Omega)$ and $\mathcal{E}^T(\Omega)$.

Lemma 1. Let $u, v \in PSH(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$ and U be an open subset of Ω such that $u = v$ near ∂U . Then

$$\int_U (dd^c u)^q \wedge T = \int_U (dd^c v)^q \wedge T$$

Proof. Let u_ε and v_ε be the usual regularization of u and v respectively. Choose $U' \subset \subset U$ such that $u = v$ near $\partial U'$. If $\varepsilon > 0$ is small enough, one has $u_\varepsilon = v_\varepsilon$ near $\partial U'$ and if we take $\chi \in \mathcal{D}(U')$ with $\chi = 1$ near $\{u_\varepsilon \neq v_\varepsilon\}$ then $dd^c \chi = 0$ on $\{u_\varepsilon \neq v_\varepsilon\}$. So

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} \chi (dd^c u_\varepsilon)^q \wedge T &= \int_{\Omega} u_\varepsilon dd^c \chi \wedge (dd^c u_\varepsilon)^{q-1} \wedge T \\ &= \int_{\Omega} v_\varepsilon dd^c \chi \wedge (dd^c u_\varepsilon)^{q-1} \wedge T \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \chi (dd^c v_\varepsilon)^q \wedge T. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\int_{\Omega} \chi (dd^c u)^q \wedge T = \int_{\Omega} \chi (dd^c v)^q \wedge T.$$

The result follows. $\square$

Corollary 1. Let $u, v \in \mathcal{F}^T(\Omega)$. Assume that there exists an open subset U of Ω such that $u = v$ near ∂U . Then

$$\int_U (dd^c u)^q \wedge T = \int_U (dd^c v)^q \wedge T.$$

Proof. Let $u, v \in \mathcal{F}^T(\Omega)$ and $w \in \mathcal{E}_0^T(\Omega)$ such that $w(z) \neq 0$ for all z . Then $u_j := \max(u, jw)$ and $v_j = \max(v, jw)$ belong to $\mathcal{E}_0^T(\Omega)$ and they are equal on ∂U . The result follows from the previous lemma. $\square$

Now we recall a result due to [7] and we give a different proof.

Proposition 2. (See [7]) *For $u, v \in \mathcal{F}^T(\Omega)$ such that $u \leq v$ on Ω one has*

$$\int_{\Omega} (dd^c v)^q \wedge T \leq \int_{\Omega} (dd^c u)^q \wedge T.$$

Proof. Let $(u_j)_j$ and $(v_j)_j$ be the corresponding decreasing sequences to u and v respectively as in Definition 2. Replace v_j by $\max(u_j, v_j)$ we can assume that $u_j \leq v_j$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$. For $h \in \mathcal{E}_0^T(\Omega)$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} -h(dd^c v_j)^q \wedge T &\leq \int_{\Omega} -h(dd^c u_j)^q \wedge T \\ &\leq \int_{\Omega} -h(dd^c u)^q \wedge T + \limsup_{j \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\{h > -\varepsilon\}} -h(dd^c u_j)^q \wedge T \\ &\leq \int_{\Omega} -h(dd^c u)^q \wedge T + \varepsilon \limsup_{j \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\Omega} (dd^c u_j)^q \wedge T. \end{aligned}$$

By tending ε to 0 we obtain

$$\int_{\Omega} -h(dd^c v)^q \wedge T \leq \int_{\Omega} -h(dd^c u)^q \wedge T$$

The result follows by choosing h decreases to -1 . $\square$

Lemma 2. *Let $u \in \mathcal{F}^T(\Omega)$ then there exists a sequence $(u_j)_j \subset \mathcal{E}_0^T(\Omega) \cap \mathcal{C}(\overline{\Omega})$ that decreases to u .*

We claim that this lemma was cited in [7, th.5.1] with uncompleted proof; in fact authors had used a comparaison theorem, proved by Dabbek-Elkhadhra [4] only for bounded psh functions, in $\mathcal{F}^T(\Omega)$ where functions are not in general bounded.

Proof. We refer to Cegrell [3, Th.2.1] for the construction of the sequence $(u_j)_j$. It remains to show that

$$\int_{\Omega} (dd^c u_j)^q \wedge T < \infty.$$

As $u_j \geq u$ then by Proposition 2 one has

$$\int_{\Omega} (dd^c u_j)^q \wedge T \leq \int_{\Omega} (dd^c u)^q \wedge T < +\infty.$$

$\square$

3. C_T -QUASICONTINUITY

Now we establish the quasicontinuity of psh functions belong to $\mathcal{F}^T(\Omega)$ and $\mathcal{E}_p^T(\Omega)$. We need to recall some notions given in [4] (see also [9]) about the capacity associated to T which is defined as

$$C_T(K, \Omega) = C_T(K) = \sup \left\{ \int_K (dd^c v)^q \wedge T, v \in PSH(\Omega, [-1, 0]) \right\}.$$

for all compact subset K of Ω . If E is a subset of Ω , we define

$$C_T(E, \Omega) = \sup \{ C_T(K), K \text{ compact subset of } E \}.$$

We refer to [4, 9] for the properties of this capacity.

Definition 3.

- A subset A of Ω is said to be T -pluripolar if $C_T(A, \Omega) = 0$.

- A psh function u is said to be quasicontinuous with respect to C_T , if for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists an open subset O_ε such that $C_T(O_\varepsilon, \Omega) < \varepsilon$ and u is continuous on $\Omega \setminus O_\varepsilon$.

Proposition 3. *Let $u \in \mathcal{F}^T(\Omega)$. Then for every $s > 0$ one has*

$$s^q C_T(\{u \leq -s\}, \Omega) \leq \int_{\Omega} (dd^c u)^q \wedge T.$$

In particular, the set $\{u = -\infty\}$ is T -pluripolar.

Proof. Let $(u_j)_j \subset \mathcal{E}_0^T(\Omega)$ be a decreasing sequence to u on Ω as in Definition 2. Take $s > 0$, $v \in PSH(\Omega, [-1, 0])$ and K a compact subset in $\{u_j \leq -s\}$. Thanks to the comparison principle (for bounded psh functions), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_K (dd^c v)^q \wedge T &\leq \int_{\{s^{-1}u_j < v\}} (dd^c v)^q \wedge T \leq \frac{1}{s^q} \int_{\{s^{-1}u_j < v\}} (dd^c u_j)^q \wedge T \\ &\leq \frac{1}{s^q} \int_{\Omega} (dd^c u_j)^q \wedge T \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$C_T(\{u_j \leq -s\}, \Omega) \leq \frac{1}{s^q} \int_{\Omega} (dd^c u_j)^q \wedge T.$$

By tending j to infinity, we obtain

$$C_T(\{u \leq -s\}, \Omega) \leq \frac{1}{s^q} \int_{\Omega} (dd^c u)^q \wedge T.$$

□

Corollary 2. *Every $u \in \mathcal{F}^T(\Omega)$ is C_T -quasicontinuous.*

Proof. Let $u \in \mathcal{F}^T(\Omega)$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Denote by $B_u(t) := \{z \in \Omega; u(z) < t\}$, $t \leq 0$. By Proposition 3, there is $s_\varepsilon \geq 1$ such that $C_T(B_u(-s_\varepsilon), \Omega) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$. The function $u_\varepsilon := \max(u, -s_\varepsilon)$ is bounded on Ω so thanks to Dabbek-Elkhadhra [4], there is an open subset $\mathcal{O}$ in Ω such that $C_T(\mathcal{O}, \Omega) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ and u_ε is continuous on $\Omega \setminus \mathcal{O}$. The result follows by taking $\mathcal{O}_\varepsilon = \mathcal{O} \cup B_u(-s_\varepsilon)$. □

To study the C_T -quasicontinuity on $\mathcal{E}_p^T(\Omega)$, we will proceed as in the previous case.

Proposition 4. *Let $u \in \mathcal{E}_p^T(\Omega)$ and $(u_j)_j \subset \mathcal{E}_0^T(\Omega)$ decreases to u on Ω as in Definition 1. Then for every $s > 0$ one has*

$$s^{p+q} C_T(\{u \leq -2s\}, \Omega) \leq \sup_{j \geq 1} \int_{\Omega} (-u_j)^p (dd^c u_j)^q \wedge T.$$

In particular, the set $\{u = -\infty\}$ is T -pluripolar.

Proof. Let $s > 0$, $v \in PSH(\Omega, [-1, 0])$. Thanks to comparison principle (for bounded psh functions), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\{u_j \leq -2s\}} (dd^c v)^q \wedge T &\leq \int_{\{u_j < -s+sv\}} (dd^c v)^q \wedge T \leq \frac{1}{s^q} \int_{\{s^{-1}u_j < -1+v\}} (dd^c u_j)^q \wedge T \\ &\leq \frac{1}{s^{p+q}} \int_{\Omega} (-u_j)^p (dd^c u_j)^q \wedge T \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$C_T(\{u_j \leq -2s\}, \Omega) \leq \frac{1}{s^{p+q}} \sup_{m \geq 1} \int_{\Omega} (-u_m)^p (dd^c u_m)^q \wedge T.$$

By tending j to infinity, we obtain

$$C_T(\{u \leq -2s\}, \Omega) \leq \frac{1}{s^{p+q}} \sup_{m \geq 1} \int_{\Omega} (-u_m)^p (dd^c u_m)^q \wedge T.$$

□

By the same argument as in corollary 2 we can easily deduce the following result:

Corollary 3. *Every function in $\mathcal{E}_p^T(\Omega)$ is C_T -quasicontinuous.*

Now we need a first version of the comparison principle where one of the functions will be unbounded. This result was proved in [4] for bounded functions.

Theorem 5. *Let $u \in \mathcal{F}^T(\Omega)$ and $v \in PSH(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$ such that*

$$\liminf_{z \rightarrow \partial\Omega \cap \text{Supp}T} u(z) - v(z) \geq 0.$$

Then

$$\int_{\{u < v\}} (dd^c v)^q \wedge T \leq \int_{\{u < v\}} (dd^c u)^q \wedge T.$$

Proof. Firstly we assume that u and v are continuous on a neighborhood W of $\text{Supp}T$. Without loss of generality we can assume that $u < v$ on W and $u = v$ on ∂W . Let $v_\varepsilon := \max(u, v - \varepsilon)$ then one has $v_\varepsilon = u$ on ∂W and

$$\int_{\{u < v\}} (dd^c v_\varepsilon)^q \wedge T = \int_{\{u < v\}} (dd^c u)^q \wedge T.$$

Since the family of measures $(dd^c v_\varepsilon)^q \wedge T$ converges weakly to $(dd^c u)^q \wedge T$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, then we obtain

$$\int_{\{u < v\}} (dd^c v)^q \wedge T = \int_{\{u < v\}} (dd^c u)^q \wedge T.$$

Let now treat the general cas. Replace u by $u + \delta$ if necessary, we can assume that $\liminf(u - v) \geq 2\delta$; so there is an open subset $\mathcal{O} \subset\subset \Omega$ such that $u(z) \geq v(z) + \delta$ for all $z \in \Omega \setminus \mathcal{O}$. Let $(u_k)_k$ and $(v_j)_j$ be two smooth sequences of psh functions which decrease respectively to u and v on a neighborhood of $\overline{\mathcal{O}}$ such that $u_k \geq v_j$ on $\partial\mathcal{O} \cap \text{Supp}T$ for $j \geq k$. Using the previous argument we obtain

$$\int_{\{u_k < v_j\}} (dd^c v_j)^q \wedge T = \int_{\{u_k < v_j\}} (dd^c u_k)^q \wedge T.$$

For $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists an open subset G of Ω such that $C_T(G, \Omega) < \varepsilon$ and u, v are continuous on $\Omega \setminus G$. We can write $v = \varphi + \psi$ where φ is continuous on Ω and $\psi = 0$ on $\Omega \setminus G$. Take $U := \{u_k < \varphi\}$ then

$$\int_U (dd^c v)^q \wedge T \leq \lim_{j \rightarrow +\infty} \int_U (dd^c v_j)^q \wedge T.$$

Since $U \cup G = \{u_k < v\} \cup G$ then

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\{u_k < v\}} (dd^c v)^q \wedge T \\ & \leq \int_U (dd^c v)^q \wedge T + \int_G (dd^c v)^q \wedge T \\ & \leq \lim_{j \rightarrow +\infty} \int_U (dd^c v_j)^q \wedge T + \int_G (dd^c v)^q \wedge T \\ & \leq \lim_{j \rightarrow +\infty} \left(\int_{\{u_k < v_j\}} (dd^c v_j)^q \wedge T + \int_G (dd^c v_j)^q \wedge T \right) + \int_G (dd^c v)^q \wedge T \\ & \leq \lim_{j \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\{u_k < v_j\}} (dd^c v_j)^q \wedge T + 2\varepsilon \|v\|_\infty^q \\ & \leq \lim_{j \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\{u_k < v_j\}} (dd^c u_k)^q \wedge T + 2\varepsilon \|v\|_\infty^q. \end{aligned}$$

Now as $\{u_k < v_j\} \downarrow \{u_k \leq v\}$, $\{u_k < v\} \uparrow \{u < v\}$ then

$$\int_{\{u < v\}} (dd^c v)^q \wedge T \leq \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\{u_k \leq v\}} (dd^c u_k)^q \wedge T + 2\varepsilon \|v\|_\infty^q.$$

The continuity of u and v on $\Omega \setminus G$ gives that $\{u \leq v\} \setminus G$ is a closed subset of Ω . It follows that

$$\int_{\{u \leq v\} \setminus G} (dd^c u)^q \wedge T \geq \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\{u \leq v\} \setminus G} (dd^c u_k)^q \wedge T.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\{u \leq v\}} (dd^c u)^q \wedge T &\geq \int_{\{u \leq v\} \setminus G} (dd^c u)^q \wedge T \\ &\geq \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\{u \leq v\} \setminus G} (dd^c u_k)^q \wedge T \\ &\geq \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \left(\int_{\{u_k < v\}} (dd^c u_k)^q \wedge T - \int_G (dd^c u_k)^q \wedge T \right) \\ &\geq \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\{u_k < v\}} (dd^c u_k)^q \wedge T - \varepsilon \|v\|_\infty^q. \end{aligned}$$

So

$$\int_{\{u < v\}} (dd^c v)^q \wedge T \leq \int_{\{u \leq v\}} (dd^c u)^q \wedge T + 3\varepsilon \|v\|_\infty^q.$$

By tending ε to 0, we obtain

$$\int_{\{u < v\}} (dd^c v)^q \wedge T \leq \int_{\{u \leq v\}} (dd^c u)^q \wedge T$$

As $\{u + \rho < v\} \uparrow \{u < v\}$ and $\{u + \rho \leq v\} \uparrow \{u < v\}$ when $\rho \searrow 0$ then the desired inequality follows by replacing u by $u + \rho$. $\square$

Recall that the Lelong-Demailly number of T with respect to a psh function φ is defined as the limit $\nu(T, \varphi) := \lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} \nu(T, \varphi, t)$ where

$$\nu(T, \varphi, t) = \int_{B_\varphi(t)} T \wedge (dd^c \varphi)^q, \quad t < 0.$$

The following result was proved in [6] but author has used Stokes formula where a regularity condition on φ is required.

Theorem 6. *Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{F}^T(\Omega)$ such that e^φ is continuous on Ω . Then for every $s, t > 0$ one has*

$$(3.1) \quad s^q C_T(B_\varphi(-t-s), \Omega) \leq \nu(T, \varphi, -t) \leq (s+t)^q C_T(B_\varphi(-t), \Omega).$$

In particular,

$$\nu(T, \varphi) = \int_{\{\varphi = -\infty\}} T \wedge (dd^c \varphi)^q = \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} t^q C_T(B_\varphi(-t), \Omega).$$

Proof. Let $t, s > 0$ and $v \in PSH(\Omega, [-1, 0])$. For $\varepsilon > 0$, we set $v_\varepsilon = \max(v, \frac{\varphi + t + \varepsilon}{s})$. Thanks to Theorem 5 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_\varphi(-t-s-\varepsilon)} T \wedge (dd^c v)^q &= \int_{B_\varphi(-t-s-\varepsilon)} T \wedge (dd^c v_\varepsilon)^q \\ &\leq \int_{\{\varphi < -t+s-\varepsilon\}} T \wedge (dd^c v_\varepsilon)^q \\ &\leq \frac{1}{s^q} \int_{\{\varphi < -t+s-\varepsilon\}} T \wedge (dd^c \varphi)^q \\ &\leq \frac{1}{s^q} \int_{B_\varphi(-t)} T \wedge (dd^c \varphi)^q. \end{aligned}$$

By passing to the supremum over all $v \in PSH(\Omega, [-1, 0])$, we obtain the following estimate

$$s^q C_T(B_\varphi(-s-t-\varepsilon), \Omega) \leq \nu(T, \varphi, -t).$$

By passing to the limit when $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, the left inequality in (3.1) is obtained. However, for the right inequality, we remark that the function $\psi = \max(\frac{\varphi}{s+t}, -1)$ is psh and satisfies $-1 \leq \psi \leq 0$ on Ω , so by Corollary 1 and using the fact that $\psi > -1$ near $\partial B_\varphi(-t)$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_\varphi(-t)} T \wedge (dd^c \varphi)^q &= (s+t)^q \int_{B_\varphi(-t)} T \wedge (dd^c \psi)^q \\ &\leq (s+t)^q C_T(B_\varphi(-t), \Omega) \end{aligned}$$

and the right inequality in (3.1) follows.
By the right inequality in (3.1), we have

$$\nu(T, \varphi) = \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \nu(T, \varphi, -t) \leq \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{(s+t)^q}{t^q} t^q C_T(B_\varphi(-t), \Omega) = \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} t^q C_T(B_\varphi(-t), \Omega).$$

If we take $\alpha > 1$ and $s = \alpha t$ in the left inequality in (3.1), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \nu(T, \varphi) = \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \nu(T, \varphi, -t) &\geq \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\alpha^q}{(1+\alpha)^q} (1+\alpha)^q t^q C_T(B_\varphi(-(1+\alpha)t), \Omega) \\ &= \left(\frac{\alpha}{1+\alpha} \right)^q \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} t^q C_T(B_\varphi(-t), \Omega). \end{aligned}$$

The result follows by letting $\alpha \rightarrow +\infty$. $\square$

Remark 2. Claim that if $\varphi \in \mathcal{F}_p^T(\Omega)$ where e^φ is continuous on Ω , then thanks to Proposition 4 and Theorem 6, $\nu(T, \varphi) = 0$.

4. MAIN RESULT

The aim of this part is to prove the following main result:

Main result (Comparison principle) *Let $u \in \mathcal{F}^T(\Omega)$ and $v \in \mathcal{E}^T(\Omega)$. Then*

$$\int_{\{u < v\}} (dd^c v)^q \wedge T \leq \int_{\{u < v\} \cup \{u = v = -\infty\}} (dd^c u)^q \wedge T.$$

Before giving the proof, we give some corollaries.

4.1. Consequences of the main result.

Corollary 4. *Let $u, v \in \mathcal{F}_p^T(\Omega)$ such that e^u is continuous on Ω . Then*

$$\int_{\{u < v\}} (dd^c v)^q \wedge T \leq \int_{\{u < v\}} (dd^c u)^q \wedge T.$$

Proof. Thanks to the comparison principle, we have

$$\int_{\{u < v\}} (dd^c v)^q \wedge T \leq \int_{\{u < v\} \cup \{u = v = -\infty\}} (dd^c u)^q \wedge T \leq \int_{\{u < v\}} (dd^c u)^q \wedge T + \nu(T, u).$$

The result follows by the fact that $\nu(T, u) = 0$ because $u \in \mathcal{F}_p^T(\Omega)$. $\square$

Corollary 5. *Let $u \in \mathcal{F}^T(\Omega)$ and $v \in \mathcal{F}_p^T(\Omega)$ such that e^v is continuous on Ω . We assume that*

$$(dd^c u)^q \wedge T \leq (dd^c v)^q \wedge T.$$

Then $C_T(\{u < v\}, \Omega) = 0$.

Proof. Assume that $C_T(\{u < v\}, \Omega) > 0$, then there exists $\psi \in PSH(\Omega, [0, 1])$ such that

$$\int_{\{u < v\}} (dd^c \psi)^q \wedge T > 0.$$

For $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough, one has $v + \varepsilon\psi \in \mathcal{F}^T(\Omega)$ so thanks to the comparaisn principle,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\{u < v + \varepsilon\psi\}} (dd^c(v + \varepsilon\psi))^q \wedge T &\leq \int_{\{u < v + \varepsilon\psi\} \cup \{u = v = -\infty\}} (dd^c u)^q \wedge T \\ &\leq \int_{\{u < v + \varepsilon\psi\} \cup \{u = v = -\infty\}} (dd^c v)^q \wedge T \\ &\leq \int_{\{u < v + \varepsilon\psi\}} (dd^c v)^q \wedge T + \nu(T, v). \end{aligned}$$

So:

$$\varepsilon^q \int_{\{u < v\}} (dd^c \psi)^q \wedge T + \int_{\{u < v + \varepsilon\psi\}} (dd^c v)^q \wedge T \leq \int_{\{u < v + \varepsilon\psi\}} (dd^c v)^q \wedge T$$

which is absurd. $\square$

4.2. Proof of the main result. To prove the main result, we shall use a similar Xing's inequalities (see [10, 11] for more details), generalized to $\mathcal{E}^T(\Omega)$. We start by recalling the following lemma:

Lemma 3. (See [7]) *Let S be a positive closed current of bidimension $(1, 1)$ on Ω and $u, v \in PSH(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$. Assume that $u \leq v$ on Ω and*

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow \partial\Omega} [u(z) - v(z)] = 0.$$

Then one has

$$\int_{\Omega} (v - u)^k dd^c w \wedge S \leq k \int_{\Omega} (1 - w)(v - u)^{k-1} dd^c u \wedge S$$

for all $k \geq 1$ and $w \in PSH(\Omega, [0, 1])$.

Lemma 4. *Let $u, v \in PSH(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$ such that $u \leq v$ on Ω and*

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow \partial\Omega} [u(z) - v(z)] = 0.$$

Then one has

$$\frac{1}{q!} \int_{\Omega} (v - u)^q dd^c w_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dd^c w_q \wedge T + \int_{\Omega} (r - w_1)(dd^c v)^q \wedge T \leq \int_{\Omega} (r - w_1)(dd^c u)^q \wedge T$$

for every $r \geq 1$ and $w_1, \dots, w_q \in PSH(\Omega, [0, 1])$.

Proof. Let $K \subset\subset \Omega$ and assume that $u = v$ on $\Omega \setminus K$. Using Lemma 3 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\Omega} (v - u)^q dd^c w_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dd^c w_q \wedge T \\ &\leq q \int_{\Omega} (v - u)^{q-1} dd^c w_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dd^c w_{q-1} \wedge dd^c u \wedge T \\ &\vdots \\ &\leq q! \int_{\Omega} (v - u) dd^c w_1 \wedge (dd^c u)^{q-1} \wedge T \\ &\leq q! \int_{\Omega} (w_1 - r) dd^c (v - u) \wedge \left(\sum_{i=0}^{q-1} (dd^c u)^i \wedge (dd^c v)^{q-i-1} \right) \wedge T \\ &= q! \int_{\Omega} (r - w_1) dd^c (u - v) \wedge \left(\sum_{i=0}^{q-1} (dd^c u)^i \wedge (dd^c v)^{q-i-1} \right) \wedge T \\ &= q! \int_{\Omega} (r - w_1) ((dd^c u)^q - (dd^c v)^q) \wedge T. \end{aligned}$$

In the general case, for every $\varepsilon > 0$ we set $v_\varepsilon = \max(u, v - \varepsilon)$. Then $v_\varepsilon \nearrow v$ on Ω and satisfies $v_\varepsilon = u$ on $\Omega \setminus K$ for some $K \subset\subset \Omega$. Hence

$$\frac{1}{q!} \int_{\Omega} (v_\varepsilon - u)^q dd^c w_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dd^c w_q \wedge T + \int_{\Omega} (r - w_1)(dd^c v_\varepsilon)^q \wedge T \leq \int_{\Omega} (r - w_1)(dd^c u)^q \wedge T$$

Since $v_\varepsilon - u \nearrow v - u$, the family of measures $(dd^c v_\varepsilon)^q \wedge T$ converges weakly to $(dd^c v)^q \wedge T$ as $\varepsilon \searrow 0$ and the function $r - w_1$ is lower semicontinuous then, by letting $\varepsilon \searrow 0$, we obtain the desired inequality. $\square$

Proposition 5. *Let $r \geq 1$ and $w \in PSH(\Omega, [0, 1])$.*

(a) *For every $u, v \in \mathcal{F}^T(\Omega)$ such that $u \leq v$ on Ω one has*

$$(4.1) \quad \frac{1}{q!} \int_{\Omega} (v - u)^q (dd^c w)^q \wedge T + \int_{\Omega} (r - w)(dd^c v)^q \wedge T \leq \int_{\Omega} (r - w)(dd^c u)^q \wedge T.$$

(b) *Furthermore, Inequality (4.1) holds for $u, v \in \mathcal{E}^T(\Omega)$ such that $u \leq v$ on Ω and $u = v$ on $\Omega \setminus K$ for some $K \subset \subset \Omega$.*

Proof. (a) Let $u, v \in \mathcal{F}^T(\Omega)$ and $u_m, v_j \in \mathcal{E}_0^T(\Omega)$ which decrease to u and v respectively as in Definition 2. Replace v_j by $\max(u_j, v_j)$ we may assume that $u_j \leq v_j$ for $j \geq 1$. By lemma 4 we have for $m \geq j \geq 1$

$$\frac{1}{q!} \int_{\Omega} (v_j - u_m)^q \wedge (dd^c w)^q \wedge T + \int_{\Omega} (r - w)(dd^c v_j)^q \wedge T \leq \int_{\Omega} (r - w)(dd^c u_m)^q \wedge T.$$

By approximating w by a sequence of continuous psh functions vanishing on $\partial\Omega$ (see [3]) and using Proposition 2, we obtain when $m \rightarrow +\infty$

$$\frac{1}{q!} \int_{\Omega} (v_j - u)^q \wedge (dd^c w)^q \wedge T + \int_{\Omega} (r - w)(dd^c v_j)^q \wedge T \leq \int_{\Omega} (r - w)(dd^c u)^q \wedge T.$$

Since $r - w$ is lower semi-continuous then

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} (r - w)(dd^c v_j)^q \wedge T \geq \int_{\Omega} (r - w)(dd^c v)^q \wedge T.$$

Hence by tending $j \rightarrow +\infty$, we obtain the result.

(b) Let G and W be open subsets of Ω such that $K \subset \subset G \subset \subset W \subset \subset \Omega$. There exists $\tilde{v} \in \mathcal{F}^T(\Omega)$ such that $\tilde{v} \geq v$ on Ω and $\tilde{v} = v$ on W . Let $\tilde{u}$ such that $\tilde{u} = u$ on G and $\tilde{u} = \tilde{v}$ either. Since $u = v = \tilde{v}$ on $W \setminus K$, we have $\tilde{u} \in PSH^-(\Omega)$. It follows that $\tilde{u} \in \mathcal{F}^T(\Omega)$, $\tilde{u} \leq \tilde{v}$ and $\tilde{u} = u$ on W .

Using (a) we obtain

$$\frac{1}{q!} \int_{\Omega} (\tilde{v} - \tilde{u})^q \wedge (dd^c w)^q \wedge T + \int_{\Omega} (r - w)(dd^c \tilde{v})^q \wedge T \leq \int_{\Omega} (r - w)(dd^c \tilde{u})^q \wedge T.$$

As $\tilde{v} = \tilde{u}$ on $\Omega \setminus G$ then

$$\frac{1}{q!} \int_W (\tilde{v} - \tilde{u})^q \wedge (dd^c w)^q \wedge T + \int_W (r - w)(dd^c \tilde{v})^q \wedge T \leq \int_W (r - w)(dd^c \tilde{u})^q \wedge T.$$

Now since $\tilde{u} = u$, $\tilde{v} = v$ and $u = v$ on $\Omega \setminus K$ we obtain

$$\frac{1}{q!} \int_{\Omega} (v - u)^q \wedge (dd^c w)^q \wedge T + \int_{\Omega} (r - w)(dd^c v)^q \wedge T \leq \int_{\Omega} (r - w)(dd^c u)^q \wedge T.$$

$\square$

Remark 3. If we take $w = 0$ and $r = 1$ in Proposition 5, we obtain another proof of Proposition 2.

Theorem 7. *Let $u, w_1, \dots, w_{q-1} \in \mathcal{F}^T(\Omega)$ and $v \in PSH^-(\Omega)$. If we set $S = dd^c w_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dd^c w_{q-1}$ then*

$$dd^c \max(u, v) \wedge T \wedge S_{\{u > v\}} = dd^c u \wedge T \wedge S_{\{u > v\}}.$$

Proof. We prove the theorem in two steps, first we assume that $v \equiv a < 0$. Thanks to Lemma 2, there exist $u_j, w_{k,j} \in \mathcal{E}_0^T(\Omega) \cap \mathcal{C}(\overline{\Omega})$ such that $(u_j)_j$ decreases to u and $(w_{k,j})_j$ decreases to w_k for each $1 \leq k \leq q-1$. Since $\{u_j > a\}$ is open, one has

$$dd^c \max(u_j, a) \wedge T \wedge S_{\{u_j > a\}}^j = dd^c u_j \wedge T \wedge S_{\{u_j > a\}}^j$$

where $S^j = dd^c w_{1,j} \wedge \dots \wedge dd^c w_{q-1,j}$. As $\{u > a\} \subset \{u_j > a\}$ we obtain

$$dd^c \max(u_j, a) \wedge T \wedge S^j_{\{u > a\}} = dd^c u_j \wedge T \wedge S^j_{\{u > a\}}$$

It follows from [7] that

$$\begin{aligned} \max(u - a, 0) dd^c \max(u_j, a) \wedge T \wedge S^j &\xrightarrow{j \rightarrow +\infty} \max(u - a, 0) dd^c \max(u, a) \wedge T \wedge S \\ \max(u - a, 0) dd^c u_j \wedge T \wedge S^j &\xrightarrow{j \rightarrow +\infty} \max(u - a, 0) dd^c u \wedge T \wedge S. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\max(u - a, 0) [dd^c \max(u, a) \wedge T \wedge S - dd^c u \wedge T \wedge S] = 0.$$

So

$$dd^c \max(u, a) \wedge T \wedge S = dd^c u \wedge T \wedge S \quad \text{on } \{u > a\}.$$

Now assume that $v \in PSH^-(\Omega)$. Since $\{u > v\} = \cup_{a \in \mathbb{Q}^-} \{u > a > v\}$, it suffices to show that

$$dd^c \max(u, v) \wedge T \wedge S = dd^c u \wedge T \wedge S \quad \text{on } \{u > a > v\}$$

for all $a \in \mathbb{Q}^-$. As $\max(u, v) \in \mathcal{F}^T(\Omega)$ then by the first step, we have

$$\begin{aligned} dd^c \max(u, v) \wedge T \wedge S_{\{\max(u, v) > a\}} &= dd^c \max(\max(u, v), a) \wedge T \wedge S_{\{\max(u, v) > a\}} \\ &= dd^c \max(u, v, a) \wedge T \wedge S_{\{\max(u, v) > a\}} \\ dd^c u \wedge T \wedge S_{\{u > a\}} &= dd^c \max(u, a) \wedge T \wedge S_{\{u > a\}}. \end{aligned}$$

The fact that $\max(u, v, a) = \max(u, a)$ on the open set $\{a > v\}$ gives

$$dd^c \max(u, v, a) \wedge T \wedge S_{\{a > v\}} = dd^c \max(u, a) \wedge T \wedge S_{\{a > v\}}.$$

As $\{u > a > v\}$ is contained in $\{u > a\}$, in $\{\max(u, v) > v\}$ and in $\{a > v\}$, then by combining the last equalities we obtain

$$dd^c \max(u, v) \wedge T \wedge S_{\{u > a > v\}} = dd^c \max(u, a) \wedge T \wedge S_{\{u > a > v\}}.$$

□

We can now prove an inequality analogous to Demailly's one found in [8].

Proposition 6.

a) Let $u, v \in \mathcal{F}^T(\Omega)$ such that $(dd^c u)^q \wedge T(\{u = v = -\infty\}) = 0$ then

$$(dd^c \max(u, v))^q \wedge T \geq \mathbb{1}_{\{u \geq v\}} (dd^c u)^q \wedge T + \mathbb{1}_{\{u < v\}} (dd^c v)^q \wedge T.$$

b) Let μ be a positive measure vanishing on all pluripolar sets of Ω and $u, v \in \mathcal{E}^T(\Omega)$ such that $(dd^c u)^q \wedge T \geq \mu$, $(dd^c v)^q \wedge T \geq \mu$. Then $(dd^c \max(u, v))^q \wedge T \geq \mu$.

Proof. a) For each $\epsilon > 0$ put $A_\epsilon = \{u = v - \epsilon\} \setminus \{u = v = -\infty\}$. Since $A_\epsilon \cap A_\delta = \emptyset$ for $\epsilon \neq \delta$ then there exists $\epsilon_j \searrow 0$ such that $(dd^c u)^q \wedge T(A_{\epsilon_j}) = 0$ for $j \geq 1$. On the other hand, since $(dd^c u)^q \wedge T(\{u = v = -\infty\}) = 0$ we have $(dd^c u)^q \wedge T(\{u = v - \epsilon_j\}) = 0$ for $j \geq 1$. Using theorem 7 it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} &(dd^c \max(u, v - \epsilon_j))^q \wedge (dd^c w)^q \wedge T \\ &\geq (dd^c \max(u, v - \epsilon_j))^q \wedge T_{\{u > v - \epsilon_j\}} + (dd^c \max(u, v - \epsilon_j))^q \wedge T_{\{u < v - \epsilon_j\}} \\ &= (dd^c u)^q \wedge T_{\{u > v - \epsilon_j\}} + (dd^c v)^q \wedge T_{\{u < v - \epsilon_j\}} \\ &= \mathbb{1}_{\{u \geq v - \epsilon_j\}} (dd^c u)^q \wedge T + \mathbb{1}_{\{u < v - \epsilon_j\}} (dd^c v)^q \wedge T \\ &\geq \mathbb{1}_{\{u \geq v\}} (dd^c u)^q \wedge T + \mathbb{1}_{\{u < v - \epsilon_j\}} (dd^c v)^q \wedge T. \end{aligned}$$

Letting $j \rightarrow +\infty$ and by Theorem 3, we get

$$(dd^c \max(u, v))^q \wedge T \geq \mathbb{1}_{\{u \geq v\}} (dd^c u)^q \wedge T + \mathbb{1}_{\{u < v\}} (dd^c u)^q \wedge T$$

because $\max(u, v - \epsilon_j) \nearrow \max(u, v)$ and $\mathbb{1}_{\{u < v - \epsilon_j\}} \nearrow \mathbb{1}_{\{u < v\}}$ as $j \rightarrow +\infty$.

b) Argument as a).

□

Proposition 7. *Let $u \in \mathcal{F}^T(\Omega)$, $v \in \mathcal{E}^T(\Omega)$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{q!} \int_{\{u < v\}} (v - u)^q \wedge (dd^c w)^q \wedge T + \int_{\{u < v\}} (r - w)(dd^c v)^q \wedge T \\ & \leq \int_{\{u < v\} \cup \{u = v = -\infty\}} (r - w)(dd^c u)^q \wedge T \end{aligned}$$

for $w \in PSH(\Omega, [0, 1])$ and all $r \geq 1$.

Proof. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and set $\tilde{v} = \max(u, v - \varepsilon)$. By Inequality (4.1) in Proposition 5 we have

$$\frac{1}{q!} \int_{\Omega} (\tilde{v} - u)^q \wedge (dd^c w)^q \wedge T + \int_{\Omega} (r - w)(dd^c \tilde{v})^q \wedge T \leq \int_{\Omega} (r - w)(dd^c u)^q \wedge T.$$

Since $\{u < \tilde{v}\} = \{u < v - \varepsilon\}$ then thanks to Theorem 7, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{q!} \int_{\{u < v - \varepsilon\}} (v - \varepsilon - u)^q \wedge (dd^c w)^q \wedge T + \int_{\{u \leq v - \varepsilon\}} (r - w)(dd^c v)^q \wedge T \\ & \leq \int_{\{u \leq v - \varepsilon\}} (r - w)(dd^c u)^q \wedge T. \end{aligned}$$

As $\{u \leq v - \varepsilon\} \subset \{u < v\} \cup \{u = v = -\infty\}$ so

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{q!} \int_{\{u < v - \varepsilon\}} (v - \varepsilon - u)^q \wedge (dd^c w)^q \wedge T + \int_{\{u \leq v - \varepsilon\}} (r - w)(dd^c v)^q \wedge T \\ & \leq \int_{\{u \leq v\} \cup \{u = v = -\infty\}} (r - w)(dd^c u)^q \wedge T. \end{aligned}$$

Letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{q!} \int_{\{u < v\}} (v - u)^q \wedge (dd^c w)^q \wedge T + \int_{\{u < v\}} (r - w)(dd^c v)^q \wedge T \\ & \leq \int_{\{u < v\} \cup \{u = v = -\infty\}} (r - w)(dd^c u)^q \wedge T. \end{aligned}$$

□

To conclude the proof of the main result, it suffices to take $w = 0$ and $r = 1$ in the previous proposition.

REFERENCES

- [1] **E. Bedford and B.A. Taylor**, A new capacity for plurisubharmonic functions, Acta. Math. 149 (1982), 1-40.
- [2] **U. Cegrell**, Pluricomplex energy, Acta. Math. 180 (1998), 187-217.
- [3] **U. Cegrell**, The general definition of the complex Monge-Ampère operator, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 54 (2004), 159-179.
- [4] **K. Dabbek and F. Elkhadhra**, Capacité associée à un courant positif fermé, Documenta Math. 11 (2006), 469-486.
- [5] **J. P. Demailly**, Complex analytic and differential geometry, Open book available at <http://www-fourier.ujf-grenoble.fr/~demailly/books.html>
- [6] **F. Elkhadhra**, Lelong-Demailly numbers in terms of capacity and weak convergence for closed positive currents, Acta Math. Scientia 33B(6) (2013), 1652-1666.
- [7] **L. M. Hai and N. T. Dung**, Local T-pluripolarity and T-pluripolarity of a Subset and some Cegrell's Pluricomplex Energy Classes Associated to a Positive Closed Current, Vietnam J. of Math. 37: 2&3 (2009), 1-19.
- [8] **N; V. Khue and H. H. Pham**, A comparison principle for the complex Monge-Ampère Operator in Cegrell's classes and applications, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 361 (2009), 5539-5554 .
- [9] **S. Kolodziej**, The complex Monge-Ampère equation and pluripotential theory, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 178 no. 840 (2005), 1-64.
- [10] **Y. Xing**, continuity of the complex Monge-Ampère operator, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 124 (1996), 457-467.
- [11] **Y. Xing**, Complex Monge-Ampère measures of pluriharmonic functions with bounded values near the boundary. Cand. j. Math. 52, (2000), 1085-1100.

E-mail address: jawhar_x@hotmail.fr

E-mail address: mohamed_zaway@yahoo.fr

E-mail address: noureddine.ghiloufi@fsg.rnu.tn

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCES OF GABES, UNIVERSITY OF GABES, ZRIG 6072 GABES
TUNISIA.